Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Applicant(s)		
INAGAKI, YASUHITO		
Art Unit		
1796		

	Doris L. Lee	1796	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appear	ars on the cover sh	eet with the correspondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED <u>08 June 2009</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS APP	LICATION IN COND	ITION FOR ALLOWANCE.	
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following r application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appe for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C periods:	the same day as filin eplies: (1) an amend al (with appeal fee) ii	g a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abar ment, affidavit, or other evidence, w n compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or	hich places the (3) a Request
 a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Acono event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire la Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b) MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f) 	dvisory Action, or (2) the ter than SIX MONTHS b). ONLY CHECK BOX	e date set forth in the final rejection, which from the mailing date of the final rejection	n.
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date of have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extender 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the slipset forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	ension and the correspo hortened statutory perio	onding amount of the fee. The appropria d for reply originally set in the final Offic	ate extension fee e action; or (2) as
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compl filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exten Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed with AMENDMENTS	sion thereof (37 CFF	R 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the	
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, b (a) They raise new issues that would require further con (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below (c) They are not deemed to place the application in bett appeal; and/or (d) They present additional claims without canceling a c	sideration and/or sea v); er form for appeal by	arch (see NOTE below); materially reducing or simplifying the	
NOTE: <u>see attachment</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 4 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowed non-allowable claim(s).	1. See attached Noti	· · ·	,
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is proven The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 15-17,19-24,26-33,35-40 and 42-45. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: 1-14.			xplanation of
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 	sufficient reasons w	hy the affidavit or other evidence is	necessary and
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to over showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary 	vercome <u>all</u> rejections	s under appeal and/or appellant fails	s to provide a
 10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER 11. ☐ The request for reconsideration has been considered but 		·	
12. ☐ Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i> (s). (label{13. ☐ Other: see attachment.	PTO/SB/08) Paper N	o(s)	
Nasu Jagannathan/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 1796	/Doris L Lee Examiner, A		